DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, GOVT. OF INDIA TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (TSDP)

REFEREE'S REPORT ON SUBMITTED NEW PROJECT PROPOSAL

Please tick in the boxes and enter your comments in the space provided. Please be as objective as possible, as PAC relies substantially on referees' reports. **DST Reference No:** PROJECT TITLE: PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: **NATURE OF THE PROJECT** (as per proposal): 1. Suitability of the Project under TSDP: Based on the objectives, methodology and deliverables, the projects under TSDP have been classified as under. Please examine the proposal carefully and put a tick mark against the most appropriate nature of the project. In case the proposal does not conform to any of the categories mentioned below, please give your classification against serial no. (x). Technology Development in association with an 2. Nature of the Project industry/ user agency. (please tick): Laboratory scale demonstration of a process/ device Pilot plant demonstration for techno-economic analysis Nationally co-ordinated project to develop a specific technology platform Development of a base technology and creation of a national facility vi) Development of a technology of societal importance vii) Laboratory level development of a technology of societal importance viii) Field level demonstration for social acceptance ix) Development of a cutting-edge technology relevant to the country Development of a technology of strategic importance xi) Applied/basic research only xii) Any other (please specify)

a) Please evaluate the contents of different sections of the proposal and tick the most appropriate box in the 5 point scale below:

2. Evaluation of the different aspects of the Proposal:

b) <u>It is desirable that a brief explanation in support of your gradation may be recorded under each of the items:</u>

2.1 Objectives

Precise and focused		1	Not so precise	Vague and de	efocused
	5	4	3	2	1

Explanation:

2.2 Deliverables (In terms of bench marked technological output)

Ap	propriately benched	marked Technolo	gy not expected imm	nediately No techr	No technology possible	
	5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

$\textbf{2.3 Justification} \ (\textit{Technological/techno-economic/societal})$

Fully justified		F	Partly justified	Justific	Justification is vague	
	5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

2.4 Methodology

Appropriate	No	t so appropriate	Not appropriate		
5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

2.5 Work Plan (Time schedule and milestones)

Well planned		Not well planned		Poorly planned		
	5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

2.6 Professional Competence of PI and the Project Team

Fully competent	No	Not so competent		Not competent		
5	4	3	2	1		

Explanation:

2.7 Previous experience of technology development/transfer by the PI and the Project Team

Very well conversant	t No	ot so conversant	No experience so far		
5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

2.8 Expected availability of the PI for this project (depending on his/her commitments in other activities)

Sufficiently available		Mod	derately available	Too many other commitments		
	5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

2.8 Track Record and Commitment of the Industrial Collaborator, if any.

(Opinion may be given only on the basis of reliable information)

Excellent track record		Mod	Moderate track record		Poor track record	
	5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

2.9 Opinion on the proposed budget

A	Appropriate	Nee	ds revision	Uni	realistic
Item	5	4	3	2	1
Salary	5	4	3	2	1
Equipment	5	4	3	2	1
Consumables	5	4	3	2	1
Contingency	5	4	3	2	1
Travel	5	4	3	2	1
Others	5	4	3	2	1
Total	5	4	3	2	1

Explanation:

2.10 Budgetary Contribution by the Industrial Partner (if any)

Sufficient	Need	s upward revision	Unacceptable		
5	4	3	2	1	

Explanation:

3.0 General Comments on the Project Proposal as a whole (attach separate sheet, if reqd.)

4.0 Overall Rating of the Project

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
5	4	3	2	1

5.0 Final Recommendation

Recommended as	Needs Minor	Needs Major	Not Recommended
such	Revision*	Revision*	

* Please give s	uggestions for changes to be made.
rieuse gries	appearance for changes to co made.
	Signature
	Name of the Referee:
	Address
	Phone(s)
	Fax
	Email
	Date:

For official Use Only

Date on which the Proposal was sent to the Referee:

Date on which the Referee's Report was received: